	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/541,615	IMAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	L. E. Crane	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application: New with an accelerated examination request.	
(1) <u>L. E. Crane</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan J. Mack</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 13-14		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicant was advised that the case was allowable and we examiner's amendment. Applicant agreed and authorized	vas requested to consider adding a phari	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the second of the se</li></ul>	on. The examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
La Cina		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)